# The Minimax Rate of HSIC Estimation for Translation-Invariant Kernels\*

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Program and Data Structures, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology <sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, London School of Economics

# Quick Summary

- Hilbert-Schmidt independence criterion (HSIC [1, 2, 3, 4]; aka. distance covariance): popular dependency measure.
- Applications: feature selection, causal discovery, independence testing, clustering, sensitivity analysis, uncertainty quantification.
- Many known estimators converge at a rate of  $\mathcal{O}_P(n^{-1/2})$ .
- Contribution: For a large class of distributions and kernels on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_P(n^{-1/2})$  is the optimal rate.

# HSIC

• Given  $X = (X_m)_{m=1}^M \sim \mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{X} = \times_{m=1}^M \mathcal{X}_m$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_m$  is equipped with kernel  $k_m$  and feature map  $\phi_{k_m} : \mathcal{X}_m \to \mathcal{H}_{k_m}$ , HSIC takes the form

$$\operatorname{HSIC}_{k}(\mathbb{P}) = \left\| \mu_{k}(\mathbb{P}) - \mu_{k} \left( \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}_{m} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{k}}, \qquad k := \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} k_{m}$$

with  $\otimes_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}_m$  the product of the marginal distributions  $\mathbb{P}_m, m \in [M] :=$  $\{1,\ldots,M\}$ , and  $\mu_k(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mathbb{P}}[\phi_k(X)].$ 

• We focus on 
$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $\mathcal{X}_m = \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$ ,  $d = \sum_{m=1}^M d_m$ ;  $\mathbb{R}^d = \times_{m=1}^M \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$ .

• Gaussian kernels:  $k_m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = e^{-\gamma \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|} \mathbb{R}^{d_m} (\gamma > 0).$ 

• Translation-invariant kernels: There exists  $\psi_m : \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k_m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \psi_m(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ . Example: Gaussian kernel.

# **Our Goal: Lower Bound**

•  $F_n :=$  any estimator of  $\operatorname{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P})$  based on n i.i.d. samples from  $\mathbb{P}$ .

• A positive sequence  $(\xi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a lower bound of HSIC estimation if there exists c > 0 such that

$$\inf_{\hat{F}_n} \underbrace{\sup_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}}}_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}^n \left\{ \left| \text{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P}) - \hat{F}_n \right| \ge c \,\xi_n \right\} > 0 \quad \forall n.$$

best estimator

- An estimator with a matching upper bound is called minimax-optimal.
- Wanted:  $\xi_n \simeq n^{-1/2}$ .

\*NeurIPS-2024, Vancouver, Canada. Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) Research Foundation (DFG) Research Foundation, and by the pilot by the pilot by the pilot by the pilot acknowledgements. program Core-Informatics of the Helmholtz Association (HGF).

 $\inf_{\hat{F}_n}$ 

- sup I  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$
- For
- (i) KL
- (ii) |HS

Florian Kalinke<sup>1</sup> and Zoltán Szabó<sup>2</sup>

# Tool: Le Cam's Method

• Key [5]: There exist  $\alpha > 0$  and a positive sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that for any fixed n, there exists an adversarial pair  $(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}, \mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  s.t. (i) KL  $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}^n || \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}^n\right) \leq \alpha$ , and (ii)  $\left| \text{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}) - \text{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}) \right| \geq 2s_n > 0.$ • Then, for all n,

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}^n\left\{ \left| \text{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P}) - \hat{F}_n \right| \ge s_n \right\} \ge \max\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha}}{4}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{\alpha/2}}{2}\right).$$

# **Our Adversarial Pair**

• Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  Gaussians on  $\mathbb{R}^d = \times_{m=1}^M \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$  with covariance

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(i, j, \rho) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots & 1 & \rho & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 \cdots & \rho & 1 \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

where  $i = d_1, j = d_1 + 1, \rho \in (-1, 1)$ . • We choose  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1} = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)$  with  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 = \mathbf{0}_d \in \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(d_1, d_1 + 1, 0) = \mathbf{I}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{dn}} \mathbf{1}_d \in \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(d_1, d_1 + 1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$ with  $\rho_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

#### **Proof Sketch**

• We use the reduction

$$\sum_{\mathbf{P}^n} \left\{ \left| \text{HSIC}_k\left(\mathbf{P}\right) - \hat{F}_n \right| \ge s_n \right\} \ge \sup_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbb{P}^n \left\{ \left| \text{HSIC}_k\left(\mathbf{P}\right) - \hat{F}_n \right| \ge s_n \right\}.$$
our adversarial pair  $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}, \mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}\right)$ , one can show that
 $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}^n || \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}^n\right) \le \alpha := \frac{5}{4} \text{ for } n \ge 2 \text{ (Gaussians  $\Rightarrow \text{ closed-form}), \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{SIC}_k(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1}) - \text{HSIC}_k(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}) | \ge 2s_n := 2\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} > 0.$$ 

 $\inf_{\hat{F}_n} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{T}}$ 

- Gaussian  $k_m$ -s

- recovering |8|.

- 31, 2018.

- 1930–1938, 2016.



# Main Result

• Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be any class of Borel probability measures containing the ddimensional Gaussians,  $k = \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} k_m$  with  $k_m : \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous bounded shift-invariant characteristic kernels. Then, there exists a constant c > 0, such that for any  $n \ge 2$ 

$$p_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}^{n} \left\{ \left| \text{HSIC}_{k} \left( \mathbb{P} \right) - \hat{F}_{n} \right| \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}}{2}$$
  
s:  $c = \frac{\gamma}{d+1} > 0.$ 

 $2(2\gamma+1)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}+1}$ • General case by Bochner's theorem (c > 0).

#### Discussion

• Many of the existing HSIC estimators on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are minimax-optimal.

• Existing lower bounds (MMD [6], mean embedding [7], covariance operator [8]) rely on adversarial pairs that do not work for HSIC.

• Corollary: Lower bound of  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  on covariance operator estimation,

#### References

[1] Arthur Gretton, Olivier Bousquet, Alex Smola, and Bernhard Schölkopf. Measuring statistical dependence with Hilbert-Schmidt norms. In Algorithmic Learning Theory (ALT), pages 63–78, 2005.

[2] Novi Quadrianto, Le Song, and Alex Smola. Kernelized sorting. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), pages 1289–1296, 2009.

[3] Niklas Pfister, Peter Bühlmann, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Jonas Peters. Kernel-based tests for joint independence. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 80(1):5-

[4] Zoltán Szabó and Bharath K. Sriperumbudur. Characteristic and universal tensor product kernels. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(233):1–29, 2018.

[5] Alexandre B. Tsybakov. Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation. Springer, 2009.

[6] Ilya Tolstikhin, Bharath Sriperumbudur, and Bernhard Schölkopf. Minimax estimation of maximal mean discrepancy with radial kernels. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), pages

[7] Ilya Tolstikhin, Bharath Sriperumbudur, and Krikamol Muandet. Minimax estimation of kernel mean embeddings. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18:1–47, 2017.

[8] Yang Zhou, Di-Rong Chen, and Wei Huang. A class of optimal estimators for the covariance operator in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 169:166–178, 2019.